Date: 11/11/2023 Edition 25. Main Editors: Cory Edmund Endrulat, William H. Douglas

## The Cult Of The State Is MOST Dangerous ~ Dr. Carl Jung

By William H. Douglas

In this article, we continue exploring the insights of Dr. Carl Jung about the nature of the State, the dangers of government, and the role of religion as found in his book *The Undiscovered Self*. Last week, we explored Dr. Jung's explanation of the power of religion to defy the authority of the government and how religious conviction empowers the believer to resist intellectual, moral, and political domination by the government. In this article we will build on these insights by studying what Dr. Jung says happens when the State does replace faith in the role of individuals and becomes the determiner of what is good, moral, and just.

"The State has taken the place of God; that is why, seen from this angle, the socialist dictatorships are religions and State slavery is a form of worship. But the religious function cannot be dislocated and falsified in this way without giving rise to secret doubts, which are immediately repressed so as to avoid conflict with the prevailing trend towards massmindedness. The result, as always in such cases, is overcompensation in the form of fanaticism, which in its turn is used as a weapon for stamping out the least flicker of opposition. Free opinion is stifled and moral decision ruthlessly suppressed, on the plea that the end justifies the means, even the vilest. The policy of the State is exalted to a creed, the leader or party boss becomes a demigod beyond good and evil, and his votaries are honored as heroes, martyrs, apostles, missionaries. There is only one truth and beside it no other. It is sacrosanct and above criticism. Anyone who thinks differently is a heretic, who, as we know from history, is threatened with all manner of unpleasant things. Only the party boss, who holds the political power in his hands, can interpret the State doctrine authentically, and he does so just as suits him."

(The Undiscovered Self, pg. 17)

Here Dr. Jung gives an excellent comprehensive explanation of how the State is essentially religious in nature and function. A society that abandons the worship of God or the Gods and becomes secularized, only ends up worshipping the Government. I would only add that the State is the worst aspects of religion all consolidated into one system. Its adherents are zealous fanatics, and the mere questioning of its dogmas will earn you vicious attacks from all sides. Whereas most religions will allow you to leave in peace, every form of the State will cage and/or kill you for your apostasy, which it labels "treason."

The State is a totalizing faith, a totalitarian faith, in that it dictates every aspect of human life and any rebelling against it is libel to be met with violence and even death. It demands the utmost obedience to the edicts of its leaders no matter how immoral, scurrilous, dehumanizing, or stupid. Just consider how crossing the road at any place other than where it gives you permission to cross can result in hundreds of dollars in

fines (\$500 is a huge fine when your average paycheck is between \$900-\$1000), jail time if you cannot pay, even imprisonment or death if you resist.

Further, the Cult of the State allows for no private interpretation of its dogmas (laws). If you try and argue that the law is wrong or their interpretation corrupt, they will still rob you (fine you), kidnap you (arrest you), cage you (imprison you), and kill you if you don't submit. Only those who are members of its exalted positions can interpret its edicts even though they are men like any other. But they are not just ordinary men, according to the *Cult of the State* because their position gives them power and apparently the wisdom, to manage the lives of hundreds of millions, whereas normal men barely have the wisdom to manage their own lives and certainly no others.

The State also has its own religious rituals. But whereas the rituals of religion empower the individual, the rituals of the State destroy the individual. As Dr. Jung explains:

"The performance of a 'magical' action gives the person concerned a feeling of security which is absolutely essential for carrying out a decision, because a decision is inevitably somewhat one-sided and is therefore rightly felt to be a risk. Even a dictator thinks it necessary not only to accompany his acts of State with threats but to stage them with all manner of solemnities. Brass bands, flags, banners, parades and monster demonstrations are no different in principle from ecclesiastical processions, cannonades and fireworks to scare off demons.

Only, the suggestive parade of State power engenders a collective feeling of security which, unlike religious demonstrations, gives the individual no protection against his inner demonism. Hence he will cling all the more to the power of the State, i.e., to the mass, thus delivering himself up to it psychically as well as morally and putting the finishing touch to his social depotentiation. The State, like the Church, demands enthusiasm, self-sacrifice and love, and if religion requires or presupposes the 'fear of God,' then the dictator State takes good care to provide the necessary terror."

The Undiscovered Self, pg. 17 (paragraphization added)

The *Cult of the State* is the most tyrannical, oppressive, and destructive religion of all. Its pledges, anthems, parades, and holidays are all designed to degrade the individual, to make him feel joy and fulfillment as he is subsumed into the indiscriminate whole as a member of the nation, as an "American," or a "Frenchman," as an "Algerian," as a Cambodian," etc. The doctrines of the *Cult of the State* demand sacrifice, human sacrifice through law enforcement and war as well as subservience and obedience. Those who refuse to make the proper offerings will have their lives destroyed and everything taken from them as they are fined, imprisoned, and killed for their disobedience. The fear of this will keep the recalcitrant in line while the rest obey out of adoration. The goal is the very destruction of the individual.

 $Source: \underline{https://thelatter day liberator.com/why-the-governments-of-men-are-the-enemies-of-god/for\ The Liberator.us$ 

A Powerful Book By Editor Cory Endrulat On The Ancient True-Freedom Philosophy Of Taoism:

<u>Visit nita.one/tao</u> (Kindle, Paperback, Hardcover, Seminar)

## To Learn With Your Heart

By Cory Edmund Endrulat

The type of person who deserves good and that of knowledge is every person. It is not that they deserve only one type of knowledge, and they certainly do not need knowledge if it is not based in reality, but only a construct of the mind. However to be good requires heart, whereas to know requires mind. While of course these organs work together and figuratively so, the heart carries most of the weight because it is the center of who we are, it our core much like that of the earth. These words represent mostly the mind, but the emotions which most people actually desire and actually live to deal with commonly - as is **my reason for making these words - it is found in the heart.** 

For many years we go through our lives from one moment to the next, a memory to an experience and cycled, all to continually realize we must be present. Because **if we go too fast, we crash, we realize where we are, we come back to ourselves, our families, our values. The mind rushes, the heart slows. The mind thinks but the heart feels.** This world of technology is much of thought, but that which drove the very being of technology is much of feeling. Wanting to create something amazing, wanting to feel connected. From the center, the tree grows, the roots spread amongst. If you create a hole in the ground, it is bound to be filled. In the same way, you touch the heart of another individual, you help them ground, center and become fulfilled through the rest of their life, for you hit the roots to the roots. Legs without a heart will not move, a brain without a heart will not perceive. It is no wonder why the heart is likened much to that of the soul, and the concept of the soul seems lost in a world of the mind.

It's almost as if we limited our ability, to claim the heart or soul is not scientific, I would say to this "well, why of course it isn't, but does that give it illegitimacy?" The heart wanders, it tells the mind where to go, again, may you see this as mere emotion. I'm not claiming to have pure emotion, for your mind exists for great reason, however I am saying that the mind of the mind is this heart that is actually in control most of the time. To even ponder upon these words and their *necessity*, do you use your mind or do you use your heart. Which is more contracting and which is more embracing. Which creates arguments and which guides and coaches. If truth had any tool then, it would be the heart, not the mind. Philosophy can guide an individual but only so much without the heart to bear it's embrace in the first place. An individual must be open to learn in order to learn in the first place. This is among asking, what is it that opens the mind? Is it the mind itself? Do ponder if it's the heart. For the acts of nonviolence by individuals like Gandhi, the need to act comes from knowledge in the mind, not mere instinct or feeling, yet the mode of action and the reason why that very knowledge exists is emotion, is feeling. Studies upon natural moral law, concern a knowledge of the mind, yet focused on harm, an emotion of the heart. Studies upon health, a knowledge of the mind, yet focused on harm, an emotion of the heart. If it be we just let disease run its course, we'd be embracing that which we do not like to embrace, not just that it is a disease. However if we say it is a disease, why do we correlate it with dis-ease, unless we'd have a comparison so to say we can live with ease? The very comparison is made from the heart.

Every past philosopher and new philosophy made was created because of the heart, a feeling that something is not right, even if it really is not right, what invokes such a feeling? We do recognize it's a feeling. Are we to say the mind controls all of this? Be it does, but again, what controls the mind? And to say we've figured it all out, what are we using, the mind or the heart? When people speak of ideology and politics, do they come from the heart,

actually? Or do they go based on agendas, what they were taught, conceptions in the mind of their own with no regard to the minds of others, or in regards to the truth of the whole world and its natural reality. If it be they were to regard all things, they'd not have an agenda. Their mind would be so open not to be controlling of other minds, because they recognize it as their own, but what dictates the fact it's their own? We may say that from the heart comes all concepts of ownership and morality, and it cannot go ignored. Those claiming it subjectivity matters not, and those claiming it's objectivity matters not because it follows no standard, nor does it follow no standard at all. Life is a test of accuracy, of direction, upon finding purpose and realizing our presence. Not just our moment now but our moment forever. In other words, you strive to be right, but you'll never be always right, that does not mean you abandon right. In the same way, you strive for love, but you'll never always have love, that does not mean you abandon love. And the same with your very life and living. It's easy to be stuck in the past when that's all we ever have with us carried into the present, but remembering the present is our closest thing to the future which can change while the past cannot. Similarly, it's easy to be stuck in the mind, but the heart is the closest thing to an individual which can make real impact while the mind cannot. The destruction of concepts concerning love is the destruction of society.

An individual seeks love when they seek fulfillment, not that they cannot self love or find it among individuals not commonly perceived for love, including pets. When love is placed in the unnatural, in material things, love can not be given back because they are not conscious; the love you receive is an illusion. Why do I say this and how do I know this? It doesn't create, it only consumes. And time shows, it never truly fulfills. Unless, that is, you use the material thing in further advancing those things natural, as it is man not abandoning nature, or the mind not abandoning the heart, the future not abandoning the past, the past not abandoning the present. May I repeat, every individual deserves love and may not even know if another individual is seeking love, or in good intent, or if it be their vision of love is confused or tempted because the heart cannot abandon the mind either; you listen or read these words for the heart, do you not? The yin and the yang, both essential for the other. Yet without question, an answer only assumes one.

For every one, there is two, hence you have three; you may see this not only in love, but with the mind and heart giving way to the guts to act; the masculine and feminine giving way to the balance, the past and future giving way to the present. And where are you? On that way, amongst all you are. May you notice words may never perfectly describe that of the heart, mere images may never perfectly depict that of the vision, man and his mind may never fulfill that of both nature and heart because nature and the heart are one, so much as the mind may be if it is allowed into being from the center. You may know thyself and love yourself, but you will need still need love from another. As it is the roots searching for water and giving to life it's own nutrients in return. And worry not about your need for love as you love, doing your best, your loveliest; for it will humble you to know not of expectation and observe those who fumble upon love as they fail to temptation among the lack of environment, unconsciousness among the consciousness. We don't grow one way, we grow on all sides but from the center. To find love, be love, never abandon it so much as honesty, never abandon it so much as yourself, because it creates you; and if you love humanity or want them to have the ability to create as opposed to destroy, consider not legitimizing a system based on violence known as government, start embracing voluntaryism.

## Practicing Equality & Natural Law ~ Short Story: Victor's Story

By Victor Johnson

Daddy, I am so mad at Billy that I could hit him. Hold on Son, what did Billy do that would cause you to react that way? He called me a name. Son, anyone who calls you a name other than your real name is really calling you a label, tell anyone who tries to put a label on you that you do not respond to labels, you know who you are. Who am I then?

You are a child of the creator who loves you so much that he gave not only you but everyone equal rights. That is why you should not hit Billy because calling someone a name, although wrong does not warrant such aggression because to hit him is called assault and everyone has the right to their well being. What if Billy hits me? Rights are important, but so is free will. If he hits you once, you can always decide what you want to do, you can let it slide or hit him back, by defending yourself you are telling him that you value your rights and since he initiated the violence you are not using violence against him, you are using what is called the right of self defense.

I still want to get back at him, can I steal his bicycle? No, because stealing violates the right of self ownership. It is his bicycle, not yours. Can I lock him in a shed for an hour to teach him a lesson? No, because that is called kidnapping and that violates the right to freedom and freedom is what it's all about.

Can I at least spread rumors about him? No. Why not? rumors are like lies, if Billy borrowed some money off of you and refused to pay it back, then it would not be wrong to tell your friends that Billy is not to be trusted, but making up stories about him that are not true violates his right to his reputation. Can I at least sell him a puzzle that has pieces missing? No. Why not? because that is fraud and fraud violates our right to full disclosure. Can I spy on him thru his bedroom window and take pictures of him when he is getting ready for bed and spread the photos around at school? No, that is called trespassing and that violates our right to privacy.

With all of these rights I suppose I can't kill him. No, what right would you be violating if you did such a thing? His right to life. Now you get it Son.

This is the recipe for creating peace and paradise on Earth. Everyone needs to know this Daddy. You are right Son, and now that you know this you can teach it to your friends, but don't teach it if you yourself are not practicing it. Teach it and teach others how to teach it. This is the basis for what we say we want: Freedom. A good way to teach it is to say; I can swing my arms in any direction I want, but my right to swing my arms freely ends where someone's nose begins. When I am teaching what has been called Natural Law or Moral Law and someone tells me that you can't change the world, I tell them that you yourself are not willing to change. True freedom happens one mind at a time. We can do this.

The most dangerous psychological mistake is the projection of the shadow on to others; this is the root of almost all conflicts.

- Carl Jung